

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexardica Virginia 22313-1450 www.lspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,304	02/07/2002	Katsushi Fujii	219202US6	7100
22850	7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HUYNH, BA	
	DUKE STREET KANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/067,304	FUJII ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ba Huynh	2179		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent application publication 2002/0071,540 (Dworkin), in view of US patent application publication 2001/0023430 (Srinivasan).

As for claims 1, 3-5: Dworkin teaches a computer implemented system and corresponding method connected to a network server (figure 2) for managing a first service of distributing contents in real-time according to a reservation made in advance by a first terminal, and, to a second terminal for requesting the use of first service and the use of a second service of providing a chat space (0002-0008, 0015-0019), comprising the means/steps for:

acquiring means configured to acquire reservation information, sent by the first terminal, to the information processing apparatus from a reservation database in order to provide the first service to the second terminal (0015, 0025),

generating means configured to generate the chat space corresponding to the reservation at a predetermined time designated by the reservation (0019-0021, 0025-0028)

Art Unit: 2179

providing means for providing the chat space (0004, 0006, 0019, 0021, 0022) to the first and second terminals coincident with the first service (0004, 0019, 0021), the second terminal accessing the chat space and first service in accordance with authentication data (0024, 0025), 0028. Dworkin discloses that user account number and password are required for purchasing of service. Notification of purchase services may be sent via email (0024). Conference participants are notified and connected to scheduled conference at stat time (0027). However, Dworkin fails to clearly teach sending the notification ("distribution notice") to the user together with authentication data and the user accesses the chat space and distributed contents in accordance with information of the provided distribution notice. However, in the same field of electronic conferencing, Srinivasan teaches sending notification message together with authentication data to attendees at scheduled conference time so as the attendees may access the conference space (Srinivasan's 0006). Thus it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Srinivasan's teaching of notification message to Dworkin. Motivation of the combining is for notifying the distribution of the conference space and access code. Although Dworkin teaches the generating of the chat space at scheduled time (0026), Dworkin fails to clearly teach that the chat space is generated at "predetermined time" prior to a distribution start time. However Official notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2179

made, to implement generating the chat space at "predetermined time" prior to a distribution start time. Motivation of the implementation is for avoiding schedule confliction and overlapping.

As for claim 2: Dworkin fails to clearly teach deleting the chat space at predetermined time after distribution end time. However Official notice is taken that implementation of deleting the chat space at pre-determined time after distribution end time would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Motivation of the implementation is for accounting and schedule management purposes.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS:

In response to the applicant's argument that Dworkin does not teach providing the chat space coincident with the first service, the limitation is disclosed in par 0019 and 0021, wherein the chat space (conference window 156, textual chat. Note that the chat space itself is a service provided by the ASP) are provided coincident with the providing of the first service (the video image of conferee, user calendar, email 150, news 152, user tracking service, user directory, etc...). The user accesses conference services through authentication process (0028, 0031), thus both the chat space and the first service are provided to the user coincidently after authentication.

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4138.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba Huynh

Primary examiner

AU 2179 2/26/06

BAHUYNH /